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## The Wealth of Nations

Question: What makes a country wealthy?
Which elements drive competitiveness of countries?

## Classical view

- Division of labor [A. Smith, 1976], Ricardian Paradigm [Ricardo, 1817]
- Specialization leads to economic efficiency
- Wealthy countries producing few products with high degree of specialization

The "classical approach" predicts a block-diagonal structure of the country-product trade matrix

## The Reality

[Cristelli, et.al. 2013]


## Matrix Triangularity

- Diversification: Number of ones per row
- Ubiquity: Number of ones per column


## Diversification vs Ubiquity

[Hidalgo, et.al. 2009]
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## Theory of Economic Complexity

- There is a robust and stable relationship between a country's productive structure and its economic growth.
- Economic complexity - introduced in [Hidalgo et. al, 2007], [Hidalgo \& Hausmann, 2009] to reflect the amount of knowledge that is embedded in the productive structure of an economy.


## Beyond GDP!

Non-monetary and non-income-based measures which uncover countries' hidden potential for development and growth.

## The Country-Product Matrix

- Relationship between countries and the products they export is represented as a bipartite graph $\mathcal{G}=(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{E})$
- An edge $(i, j)$ between a country $i \in \mathcal{C}$ and a product $p \in \mathcal{P}$ is present in $\mathcal{E}$ if the country has a revealed comparative advantage (RCA) [Balassa, 1964] in the export of that product.

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i j}=\frac{E_{i j} / \sum_{j} E_{i j}}{\sum_{i} E_{i j} / \sum_{i, j} E_{i j}}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- $E_{i j}$ is the export of product $j$ by country $i, i \in \mathcal{C}, j \in \mathcal{P}$.
- $R_{i j}>1$ if country $i$ 's share of product $j$ is larger than the product's share of the entire world market
- The country-product matrix

$$
M_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
1, & \text { if } R_{i j} \geq 1  \tag{2}\\
0, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

## The Method of Reflections (MR)

## Economic Complexity

- A product is "complex" if it is exported by a "complex" country
- Similarity with the Pagerank algorithm

The Method of Reflections (MR) [Hidalgo, Hausmann 2009] - an iterative linear procedure that produces complexity indices of countries and products.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
c_{i, n}=\frac{1}{d_{i}} \sum_{j} M_{i j} p_{j, n-1}  \tag{3}\\
p_{j, n}=\frac{1}{u_{j}} \sum_{i} M_{i j} c_{i, n-1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Initial conditions

- $c_{i, 0}=d_{i}$ is country $i$ 's diversity - number of products for which the country has $R C A>1$
- $p_{j, 0}=u_{j}$ is product $j$ 's ubiquity - number of countries which have RCA in that product


## Country Rankings: Examples



b



## The Fitness-Complexity Method (FCM)

FCM [Cristelli et. al, 2013]

- Country fitness $=($ weighted $)$ sum of the complexities of the exported products
- Product complexity $\neq$ average fitness of the countries producing it.

A strong nonlinear relationship between the complexity of an exported product and the competitiveness of its producers.

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ \widetilde { c } _ { i , n } = \sum _ { j } M _ { i j } p _ { j , n - 1 } }  \tag{4}\\
{ \widetilde { p } _ { j , n } = \frac { 1 } { \sum _ { i } M _ { i j } \frac { 1 } { c _ { i , n - 1 } } } }
\end{array} \longrightarrow \left\{\begin{array}{l}
c_{i, n}=\frac{\widetilde{c}_{i, n}}{\frac{\left.c_{i}, n\right\rangle_{i}}{}} \\
p_{j, n}=\frac{\tilde{p}_{j, n}}{\left\langle\tilde{p}_{j, n}\right\rangle_{j}}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

- $\widetilde{c}_{i, n}$ - intermediate fitness (country complexity)
- $\widetilde{p}_{j, n}$ - intermediate product complexity
- Initial conditions: $\widetilde{c}_{i, 0}=1, \widetilde{p}_{j, 0}=1$
- Normalization in each step


## Modified Fitness-Complexity Method (M-FCM)

## Convergence issue of FCM

Country-product matrices obtained from real trade data often exhibit an "unfavorable" structure resulting in some country fitness and product complexity scores converging to zero

M-FCM [Stojkoski et. al] - A modification of FCM

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { c } 
{ \widetilde { c } _ { i , n } = \sum _ { j } M _ { i j } p _ { j , n - 1 } }  \tag{5}\\
{ \widetilde { p } _ { j , n } = \sum _ { i } M _ { i j } ( N _ { c } - c _ { i , n - 1 } ) }
\end{array} \longrightarrow \left\{\begin{array}{c}
c_{i, n}=\frac{\widetilde{c}_{i, n}}{\left\langle\widetilde{c}_{i, n}\right\rangle_{i}} \\
p_{j, n}=\frac{\widetilde{\tilde{p}}_{j, n}}{\left\langle\tilde{p}_{j, n}\right\rangle_{j}}
\end{array} .\right.\right.
$$

- The term $\frac{1}{c_{i, n-1}}$ in FCM is substituted with $\left(N_{c}-c_{i, n-1}\right)\left(N_{c}\right.$ is the number of countries)
- The complexity of a product is still (mostly) determined by the complexity of the least competitive exporting countries.
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## Theory of Hidden Capabilities

## Hidden Capabilities

The theory of economic complexity implicitly resides on the premise of "hidden capabilities" behind the productive structure of an economy

## Measuring the "intangibles"

Capabilities are "intangible assets which drive the development, the wealth and the competitiveness of a country" [Cristelli et. al, 2013]


## Capability-based Interpretation of EC

## The Binomial Model [Hidalgo\& Hausmann, 2011]

Country $i$ has RCA in product $j$ iff it is endowed with all capabilities required to produce the product.

- $Z$ - a country-capability matrix
- $B$ - a product-capability matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{i j}=Z_{i k} \odot B_{j k}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
Z_{i k} \odot B_{j k}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } \sum_{k} Z_{i k} B_{j k}=\sum_{k} Z_{i k}  \tag{7}\\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

- Operator $\odot$ resembles a (binary) Leontief production function.


## Problem Interpretation

This is essentially a stochastic matrix-factorization problem

- Probabilistic interpretation of the country-product matrix $M$
- Capabilities as hidden variables that relate countries and products

Ideally, we need a model that

- explains the data
- incorporates sparsity
- is consistent with "well accepted" findings in economy
- provides interpretation of the extracted features (capabilities)

Poisson factorization based on the Restricted-Indian Buffet Process

$$
P\left(M_{i j}=1 \mid Z_{i}, B_{. j}\right)=\operatorname{Poisson}\left(Z_{i .} B_{. j}\right)
$$
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## Indian Buffet Process

## Definition

Stochastic process defining prob. distribution over sparse binary matrices with finite number of rows and infinite number of columns.

$$
Z \sim \operatorname{IBP}(\alpha)
$$

Iteratively, for each customer $i$ :


Culinary Metaphor

- For $k=1, \ldots, K^{+}$:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(z_{i k}=1 \mid Z_{\neg i k}\right) \propto \frac{m_{-i, k}}{i} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Sample new dishes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\text {new }} \sim \operatorname{Poisson}\left(\frac{\alpha}{i}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Indian Buffet Process

(Slides from F.J.R.Ruiz)


## Indian Buffet Process

(Slides from F.J.R.Ruiz)


## Some Measure Theory (I)

## Random Measure $\mu$

- Distribution over measures in measurable space $(\Theta, \mathcal{A})$.
- Stochastic process indexed by sigma algebra $\mathcal{A}$, i.e., collection of r.v. $\mu(A) \in[0, \infty]$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$.


## Some Measure Theory (I)

## Random Measure $\mu$

- Distribution over measures in measurable space $(\Theta, \mathcal{A})$.
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## Completely Random Measure

- Random measure such that, $\forall A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n} \subset \mathcal{A}$ disjoint sets, $\mu\left(A_{1}\right), \mu\left(A_{2}\right), \ldots, \mu\left(A_{n}\right)$ are independent.
- Beta Process, Gamma Process, Bernoulli Process, etc, ...

$$
\mu=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \pi_{k} \delta_{\theta_{k}}
$$

## Some Measure Theory (II)

## De Finetti's Theorem

Any infinitely exchangeable sequence can be written as a mixture of i.i.d samples

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)=\int \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{Q}_{\mu}\left(X_{i}\right) P(d \mu) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Some Measure Theory (II)

## De Finetti's Theorem

Any infinitely exchangeable sequence can be written as a mixture of i.i.d samples

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)=\int \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{Q}_{\mu}\left(X_{i}\right) P(d \mu) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

De Finetti's Mixing distribution for IBP

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu=\sum_{k} \pi_{k} \delta_{\theta_{k}} \sim \operatorname{BP}(\alpha, H)  \tag{11}\\
& \zeta_{n}=\sum_{k} z_{n k} \delta_{\theta_{k}} \sim \operatorname{BeP}(\mu) \\
& \mu \sim \operatorname{BP}(\alpha, H)  \tag{13}\\
& Z_{n} \sim \operatorname{BeP}(\mu)  \tag{14}\\
& \text { ॥ } \\
& Z \sim \operatorname{IBP}(\alpha) \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

## Assumptions underlying the IBP

- Number of ones per row $r_{n} \sim \operatorname{Poisson}(\alpha)$.
- Number of non-empty columns $K^{+} \sim \operatorname{Poisson}\left(\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{j}\right)$.
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## Restricted Indian Buffet Process

[Doshi-Velez et. al, 2015]

- IBP with arbitrary distribution $f$ over $r_{n}=\sum_{i} z_{n i}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
Z & \sim \operatorname{R-IBP}(\alpha, f)  \tag{16}\\
& \Downarrow \\
\mu & \sim \operatorname{BP}(\alpha, H)  \tag{17}\\
Z_{n} & \sim \operatorname{R-BeP}(\mu, f) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Restricted Bernoulli Process, case $f=\delta_{J}$

$$
\mathrm{R}-\operatorname{BeP}\left(Z_{n} ; \mu, f=\delta_{J}\right) \propto\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{BeP}\left(Z_{n} ; \mu\right) \text { if } r_{n}=J  \tag{19}\\
0 \quad \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Restricted Indian Buffet Process

[Doshi-Velez et. al, 2015]

- IBP with arbitrary distribution $f$ over $r_{n}=\sum_{i} z_{n i}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
Z & \sim \operatorname{R-IBP}(\alpha, f)  \tag{16}\\
& \Uparrow \\
\mu & \sim \operatorname{BP}(\alpha, H)  \tag{17}\\
Z_{n} & \sim \operatorname{R-BeP}(\mu, f) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

## Restricted Bernoulli Process, general $f$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{R}-\operatorname{BeP}\left(Z_{n} ; \mu, f\right)=f\left(\sum_{k} Z_{n k}\right) \operatorname{R}-\operatorname{BeP}\left(Z_{n} ; \mu, f=\delta_{\sum_{k} Z_{n k}}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$
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## Sparse Poisson Factorization Model

## Generative Model

$$
\begin{align*}
Z & \sim \operatorname{R-IBP}(\alpha, f)  \tag{20}\\
B_{. j} & \sim \operatorname{Gamma}\left(\alpha_{B}, \frac{\mu_{B}}{\alpha_{B}}\right)  \tag{21}\\
M_{i j} \mid Z, B & \sim \operatorname{Poisson}\left(Z_{i .} \cdot B_{j}\right) \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

- $M$ : country-product matrix.
- $Z$ : country-capability matrix.
- $B$ : capability-product matrix.
- Double sparsity (by choosing shape parameter $\alpha_{B}<1$ ).
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## Preliminary Results

## Simulation Settings

- Trade data from SITC database, year 2010.
- $N=126$ countries, $D=744$ products.
- We choose $\alpha_{B}=0.01, f=$ Neg. $\operatorname{Binomial}(r=2, p=0.05)$.
- Burn-out: 45.000 iterations, estimates using 5.000 last iterations.
- IBP finds 11 capabilities on average, whereas R-IBP finds 15 capabilities.
- Concerning prediction accuracy:

|  | SVD | IBP | R-IBP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MSE | 0.1087 | 0.1142 | 0.1154 |
| MAE | 0.2123 | 0.2171 | 0.2095 |

## Inferred Capabilities using SVD

## Interpretability

| "Bovine" | 0.49 |  | "Sulphur" | 0.40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| "Miscellaneous Refrigeration Equipment" | 0.43 |  | "Fuel Wood and Charcoal" | 0.34 |
| "Radioactive Chemicals" | 0.41 | "Miscell | laneous Unmilled Cereals" | 0.33 |
| "Blocks of Iron and Steel" | 0.41 |  | "Household Refrigeration" | 0.33 |
| "Rape Seeds" | 0.40 |  | "Decorative Wood" | 0.33 |
| "Animal meat, misc" | 0.39 |  | "Frozen Fish Fillets" | 0.32 |
| "Refined Sugars" | 0.38 |  | "Rail Freight Transport" | 0.32 |
| "Miscellaneous Tire Parts" | 0.38 |  | "Wool Undergarments" | 0.31 |
| "Leather Accessories" | 0.38 |  | "Cheese" | 0.31 |
| "Liquor" | 0.38 |  | "Ships and Boats" | 0.31 |
| "Bovine meat" | 0.38 | "Miscellaneous | Animal Origin Materials" | 0.31 |
| "Embroidery" | 0.37 |  | "Worked Tin and Alloys" | 0.30 |
| "Unmilled Barley" | 0.37 |  | "Transmission Belts" | 0.29 |
| "Dried Vegetables" | 0.36 |  | "Copper" | 0.29 |
| "Textile Fabrics Clothing Accessories" | 0.36 |  | "Men's Jackets" | 0.29 |
| "Horse Meat" | 0.35 |  | "Electrical Transformers" | 0.29 |
| "Iron Bars and Rods" | 0.35 |  | "Polishing Stones" | 0.28 |
| "Analog Navigation Devices" | 0.35 |  | "Tea" | 0.28 |
| "Cocoa Butter" | 0.34 |  | "Linens" | 0.28 |
| "Miscellaneous Live Animals" | 0.34 | scellaneous Pa | ts of Steam Power Units" | 0.28 |

## Inferred Capabilities using R-IBP (I)

## Interpretability

"Cotton Undergarments" 0.93<br>"Knit Clothing Accessories" 0.91<br>"Lingerie" 0.84<br>"Miscellaneous Feminine Outerwear" 0.81<br>"Men's Shirts" 0.81<br>"Men's Pants" 0.80<br>"Knitted Outerwear" 0.78<br>"Blouses" 0.67<br>"Miscellaneous Men's Outerwear" 0.64<br>"Women's Suits" 0.64<br>"Synthetic Knitted Undergarments" 0.62<br>"Women's Knitted Outerwear" 0.61<br>"Men's Coats" 0.60<br>"Miscellaneous Knitted Outerwear" 0.60<br>"Men's Jackets" 0.60<br>"Dresses" 0.60<br>"Skirts" 0.58<br>"Men's Suits" 0.56<br>"Women's Underwear" 0.54<br>"Headgear" 0.53

## Inferred Capabilities using R-IBP (II)

## Interpretability

|  | $m_{k}$ | Capability | Repr. Countries |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| F1 | 19 | Machinery: rotary | - |
| F2 | 27 | Industrial parts | - |
| F3 | 18 | Farmaceutics | - |
| F4 | 35 | Agriculture/Farming | Paraguay |
| F5 | 18 | Electronics | Malaysia |
| F6 | 26 | Car industry | - |
| F7 | 23 | Chemical treatments (e.g. pesticides) | Peru |
| F8 | 42 | Basic processing (food, material) | Kenya |
| F9 | 24 | Synthetic fibers | - |
| F10 | 9 | Minery (nickel, coal...) | Kazakhstan |
| F11 | 24 | Machinery, general industry | - |
| F12 | 10 | Chemical (polymerization, silicons...) | - |
| F13 | 29 | Minery (iron, copper...) | - |
| F14 | 32 | Miscellaneous | - |
| F15 | 45 | Clothing | Morocco, Bangladesh, ... |

## Inferred Capabilities using R-IBP (III)

Interpretability

## Competitive Advantages of each country

- Norway: Minery (nickel, coal) + Rotary machinery
- Russia: Minery (nickel, coal) + Minery (iron, copper)
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## Inferred Capabilities using R-IBP (III)

Interpretability

## Competitive Advantages of each country

- Norway: Minery (nickel, coal) + Rotary machinery
- Russia: Minery (nickel, coal) + Minery (iron, copper)
- Switzerland: Machinery + Car Industry + Chemicals + Farmaceutics


## Countries in Capability Space

- France $=$ Belgium + Industrial Machinery
- Germany - Chemical = Austria
- Malaysia (Electronics) + Clothing $\rightarrow$ Phillipines
- Phillipines + Basic Processing $\rightarrow$ Indonesia, Vietnam
- Turkey $\rightarrow$ Italy? (Machinery + Chemical)
- Italy $\rightarrow$ Spain? (Agriculture/Farming)


## Deep IBP: 2nd layer of IBP

(1) Countries divided in two big groups: "quiescence" trap.

## Deep IBP: 2nd layer of IBP

(1) Countries divided in two big groups: "quiescence" trap.
(2) Capabilities can be clustered in 3 sets:

| Basic | Mixed | Advanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clothing | Basic processing | Car industry |
| Synthetic Fibers | Chemical treatments | Minery (iron, copper...) |
| Minery(nickel, coal) | Agriculture/farming | Farmaceutics |
| Electronics |  | Industrial parts |
| Chemical (Silicons...) |  | Machinery: general |
|  |  | Machinery: specialized |
|  |  | Miscellaneous |

## Distribution of countries diversification: IBP




## Distribution of countries diversification: R-IBP
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## Conclusion

## So far...

- Application of the R-IBP.
- Bayesian non-parametric latent feature model for sparse count data:

High interpretability.
Modeling of structured sparsity.

## Future works

- Dynamic evolution of capabilities

Varying per country activation over time.

- Smooth variation of capabilities along history.


## Restricted Indian Buffet Process

[Doshi-Velez et. al, 2015]

Restricted Bernoulli Process, case $f=\delta_{J}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{R-BeP}\left(Z_{n} ; \mu, f=\delta_{J}\right)=\frac{\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \pi_{k}^{z_{n k}}\left(1-\pi_{k}^{1-z_{n k}}\right) \mathbb{1}\left(\sum_{K} z_{n k}=J\right)}{\sum_{z^{\prime} \in \mathcal{Z}} \prod_{k} \pi_{k}^{z_{k}^{\prime}}\left(1-\pi_{k}\right)^{\left(1-z_{k}^{\prime}\right)} \mathbb{1}\left(\sum_{K} z_{k}^{\prime}=J\right)} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Appendix

A few words about inference

- Markov Chain Monte Carlo approach.
- Conditional conjugacy using auxiliary variables.

$$
x_{n d}=\sum^{K} x_{n d, k}^{\prime} \quad \text { where } \quad x_{n d, k}^{\prime} \sim \operatorname{Poisson}\left(Z_{n} \cdot B \cdot d\right)
$$

- Exact inference for IBP using slice sampler [Teh, 2007]. Truncate approximation for R-IBP.
- Dynamic programming to compute R-IBP likelihood [Doshi-Velez, 2015].


## Appendix

Other capability examples using R-IBP

"Glycosides and Vaccines" 1.08<br>"Polyamides" 0.85<br>"Aldehyde, Ketone and Quinone-Function Compounds" 0.84<br>"Analog Navigation Devices" 0.83<br>"Other Nitrogen Function Compounds" 0.73<br>"Centrifuges" 0.70<br>"Printing Ink" 0.68<br>"Cyclic Alcohols" 0.64<br>"Antibiotics" 0.64<br>"Miscellaneous Printing Machines" 0.62<br>"Sound Recording Media" 0.61<br>"Scented Mixtures" 0.60<br>"Centrifugal Pumps" 0.60<br>"X-Ray Equipment" 0.60<br>"Organo-Sulphur Compounds" 0.56<br>"Hormones" 0.56<br>"Heterocyclic Compounds" 0.54<br>"Cellulose Derivates" 0.53<br>"Orthopedic Devices" 0.52<br>"Analog Instruments for Physical Analysis" 0.51

```
        "Miscellaneous Wheat" 0.65
        "Preserved Milk" 0.65
            "Maize" 0.62
        "Improved Wood" 0.62
        "Simply Shaped Wood" 0.59
            "Carpentry Wood" 0.59
            "Miscellaneous Animal Oils" 0.58
            "Glues" 0.57
            "Cheese" 0.57
            "Pulpwood" 0.55
"Miscellaneous Vegetable Oils" 0.55
            "Unmilled Barley" 0.54
"Soil Preparation Machinery" 0.52
            "Electric Current" 0.51
            "Rape Seeds" 0.51
            "Unmilled Rye" 0.50
            "Bovine meat" 0.49
                            "Bovine" 0.47
            "Coniferous Wood" 0.47
"Raw Sheep Skin with Wool" 0.46
```


## Appendix

Ranking of countries


