Sparse Three-parameter Restricted IBP for Understanding International Trade

Melanie F. Pradier, Viktor Stojkoski, Zoran Utkovski, Ljupco Kocarev, and Fernando Perez-Cruz

December 9, 2016



Sparse Three-parameter Restricted IBP for Understanding International Trade

Melanie F. Pradier, Viktor Stojkoski, Zoran Utkovski, Ljupco Kocarev, and Fernando Perez-Cruz

December 9, 2016



• High-dimensional count data.

・ロト ・合ト ・モト ・ 王

Sparse Three-parameter Restricted IBP for Understanding International Trade

Melanie F. Pradier, Viktor Stojkoski, Zoran Utkovski, Ljupco Kocarev, and Fernando Perez-Cruz

December 9, 2016



- High-dimensional count data.
- Focus on Data Exploration.

Motivation: Wealth of Nations



Motivation: Wealth of Nations



Motivation: Wealth of Nations



Motivation: Wealth of Nations



Motivation: Wealth of Nations



Theoretical Background Our Approach Results

Motivation: Wealth of Nations

The reality:



Theoretical Background Our Approach Results

Motivation: Wealth of Nations

The reality:

Properties:



Theoretical Background Our Approach Results

Motivation: Wealth of Nations

The reality:



Properties:



Theoretical Background Our Approach Results

Motivation: Wealth of Nations

The reality:



Properties:

- Triangularity
- $\bigcirc D \gg N$

Theoretical Background Our Approach Results

Motivation: Wealth of Nations

The reality:



Properties:

- Triangularity
- $D \gg N$

Our Contribution

Develop an Infinite Poisson-Gamma Model

- Flexible prior
- Feature sparsity

Indian Buffet Process (Ghahramani et.al, 2006)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ \phi & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} I & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \end{array} \end{array} \right)$$

Indian Buffet Process (Ghahramani et.al, 2006)

$$\begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \phi \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} & \\ & Z \sim \text{IBP}(\alpha) \end{array} \quad Z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

De Finetti's Representation: (Thibaux et.al, 2007)

$$\mathbf{Z}_{n} \sim \operatorname{BeP}(\mu) \qquad (1)$$
$$\mu \sim \operatorname{BP}(1, \alpha, H) \qquad (2)$$

where $\mu = \sum_k \pi_k \delta_{\theta_k}$

Indian Buffet Process (Ghahramani et.al, 2006)

$$\begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \phi & & \\ \phi & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \end{array}$$

De Finetti's Representation: (Thibaux et.al, 2007)

$$\mathbf{Z}_{n \cdot} \sim \operatorname{BeP}(\mu) \qquad (1)$$
$$\mu \sim \operatorname{BP}(1, \alpha, H) \qquad (2)$$

where $\mu = \sum_k \pi_k \delta_{\theta_k}$

• **Disadvantage**: Mass parameter α couples both J_n and K^+



Indian Buffet Process (Ghahramani et.al, 2006)

$$\begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \phi & & \\ \phi & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ \end{array} \end{array}$$

De Finetti's Representation: (Thibaux et.al, 2007)

$$\mathbf{Z}_{n \cdot} \sim \operatorname{BeP}(\mu) \qquad (1)$$
$$\mu \sim \operatorname{BP}(1, \alpha, H) \qquad (2)$$

where $\mu = \sum_k \pi_k \delta_{\theta_k}$

• **Disadvantage**: Mass parameter α couples both J_n and K^+



Beyond the standard IBP

Three-parameter IBP (Teh et.al, 2007)

• More flexible distribution for stick weights

$$\mathbf{Z}_{n} \sim \operatorname{BeP}(\mu) \tag{5}$$
$$\mu \sim \operatorname{SBP}(1, \alpha, H, c, \sigma) \tag{6}$$

$$p(J_{new}) \sim \text{Poisson}\left(\alpha \frac{\Gamma(1+\mathbf{c})\Gamma(n+\mathbf{c}+\sigma-1)}{\Gamma(n+\mathbf{c})\Gamma(\mathbf{c}+\sigma)}\right)$$

∃ > -

Beyond the standard IBP

Three-parameter IBP (Teh et.al, 2007)

• More flexible distribution for stick weights

$$\mathbf{Z}_{n.} \sim \operatorname{BeP}(\mu) \tag{5}$$

$$\mu \sim \operatorname{SBP}(1, \alpha, H, c, \sigma) \tag{6}$$

$$p(J_{new}) \sim \text{Poisson}\left(\alpha \frac{\Gamma(1+\mathbf{c})\Gamma(n+\mathbf{c}+\boldsymbol{\sigma}-1)}{\Gamma(n+\mathbf{c})\Gamma(\mathbf{c}+\boldsymbol{\sigma})} \right)$$



Beyond the standard IBP

Three-parameter IBP (Teh et.al, 2007)

• More flexible distribution for stick weights

$$\mathbf{Z}_{n\cdot} \sim \operatorname{BeP}(\mu) \tag{5}$$
$$\mu \sim \operatorname{SBP}(1, \alpha, H, c, \sigma) \tag{6}$$

$$p\left(J_{new}\right) ~\sim~ \mathrm{Poisson}\left(\frac{ \Gamma(1+\mathbf{c}) \Gamma(n+\mathbf{c}+\boldsymbol{\sigma}-1)}{ \Gamma(n+\mathbf{c}) \Gamma(\mathbf{c}+\boldsymbol{\sigma})} \right)$$



Restricted IBP (Doshi-Velez et.al, 2015)

• Arbitrary prior f over J_n

$$\mathbf{Z}_{n} \sim \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{BeP}(\mu, f)$$
 (7)

$$\mu \sim BP(1, \alpha, H)$$
 (8)



Beyond the standard IBP

Three-parameter IBP (Teh et.al, 2007)

• More flexible distribution for stick weights

$$\mathbf{Z}_{n\cdot} \sim \operatorname{BeP}(\mu) \tag{5}$$
$$\mu \sim \operatorname{SBP}(1, \alpha, H, \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \tag{6}$$

$$p\left(J_{new}\right) ~\sim~ \mathrm{Poisson}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(1+\mathbf{c})\Gamma(n+\mathbf{c}+\boldsymbol{\sigma}-1)}{\Gamma(n+\mathbf{c})\Gamma(\mathbf{c}+\boldsymbol{\sigma})} \right)$$



Restricted IBP (Doshi-Velez et.al, 2015)

• Arbitrary prior f over J_n

$$\mathbf{Z}_{n} \sim \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{BeP}(\mu, f)$$
 (7)

$$\mu \sim BP(1, \alpha, H)$$
 (8)



- Combination of both
- Flexible prior

Our Approach Sparse Three-Parameter Restricted Indian Buffet Process





Our Approach Sparse Three-Parameter Restricted Indian Buffet Process





| 9 | Let Σ | $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{I}$ | $\mathbb{N}^{N \times D}$ | |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---|------|
| | x_{nd} | \sim | $\operatorname{Poisson}(\mathbf{Z}_{n},\mathbf{B}_{\cdot d})$ | (9) |
| | B_{kd} | \sim | $\operatorname{Gamma}\left(\alpha_B, \frac{\mu_B}{\alpha_B}\right)$ | (10) |
| | $\mathbf{Z}_{n\cdot}$ | \sim | $BeP(\mu)$ | (11) |
| | μ | \sim | $\mathrm{BP}(1,\alpha,H)$ | (12) |



Our Approach Sparse Three-Parameter Restricted Indian Buffet Process





• Let
$$\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{N}^{N \times D}$$

 $x_{nd} \sim \text{Poisson}(\mathbf{Z}_n \cdot \mathbf{B}_{\cdot d})$ (9)
 $B_{kd} \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha_B, \frac{\mu_B}{\alpha_B})$ (10)
 $\mathbf{Z}_n \cdot \sim \text{BeP}(\mu)$ (11)
 $\mu \sim \text{SBP}(1, \alpha, H, c, \sigma)$ (12)



Our Approach Sparse Three-Parameter Restricted Indian Buffet Process





Generative Model

• Let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{N}^{N \times D}$ $x_{nd} \sim \text{Poisson}(\mathbf{Z}_{n}.\mathbf{B}_{.d})$ (9) $B_{kd} \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha_{B}, \frac{\mu_{B}}{\alpha_{B}})$ (10) $\mathbf{Z}_{n.} \sim \text{R-BeP}(\mu, f)$ (11) $\mu \sim \text{SBP}(1, \alpha, H, \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{\sigma})$ (12)

Our Approach Sparse Three-Parameter Restricted Indian Buffet Process





Generative Model

• Let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{N}^{N \times D}$ $x_{nd} \sim \text{Poisson}(\mathbf{Z}_{n}.\mathbf{B}_{.d})$ (9) $B_{kd} \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha_{B}, \frac{\mu_{B}}{\alpha_{B}})$ (10) $\mathbf{Z}_{n.} \sim \text{R-BeP}(\mu, f)$ (11) $\mu \sim \text{SBP}(1, \alpha, H, c, \sigma)$ (12)

Our Approach Sparse Three-Parameter Restricted Indian Buffet Process





Generative Model

- Let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{N}^{N \times D}$ $x_{nd} \sim \text{Poisson}(\mathbf{Z}_{n} \cdot \mathbf{B}_{\cdot d})$ (9) $B_{kd} \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha_{B}, \frac{\mu_{B}}{\alpha_{B}})$ (10) $\mathbf{Z}_{n} \sim \text{R-BeP}(\mu, f)$ (11) $\mu \sim \text{SBP}(1, \alpha, H, c, \sigma)$ (12)
- **2** R-IBP \rightarrow countries inequalities
- - \rightarrow interpretability

Results Capturing Input Sparsity Structure



Results Interpretability

| Id | $\bar{m_k}$ | Top-5 products with sorted highest weights (B_{kd}) | |
|----|-------------|--|--|
| F1 | 18.27 | Miscellaneous Animal Oils (0.78), Bovine and Equine Entrails (0.72), Bovine meat (0.68), Preserved Milk (0.63), Equine (0.62) | |
| F3 | 14.87 | Parts of Metalworking Machine Tools (0.74), Interchangeable Tool Parts (0.72), Polishing Stones (0.69), Tool Holders (0.66), Miscellaneous Metalworking Machine-Tools (0.54) | |
| F5 | 11.04 | Synthetic Rubber (0.87), Acrylic Polymers (0.85), Silicones (0.76), Miscellaneous Polymerization Products (0.71), Tinned Sheets (0.65) | |
| F7 | 31.14 | Vehicles Parts and Accessories (0.59) , Cars (0.58) , Iron Wire (0.53) , Trucks and Vans (0.53) , Air Pumps and Compressors (0.50) | |
| | | | |

(日) (종) (종) (종)

æ

Results Interpretability

| Top Products (decay 30%) | B_{kd} |
|---------------------------------------|----------|
| | |
| Bovine | 0.49 |
| Miscellaneous Refrigeration Equipment | 0.43 |
| Radioactive Chemicals | 0.41 |
| Blocks of Iron and Steel | 0.41 |
| Rape Seeds | 0.40 |
| Animal meat, misc | 0.39 |
| Refined Sugars | 0.38 |
| Miscellaneous Tire Parts | 0.38 |
| Leather Accessories | 0.38 |
| Liquor | 0.38 |
| Bovine meat | 0.38 |
| Embroidery | 0.37 |
| Unmilled Barley | 0.37 |
| Dried Vegetables | 0.36 |
| Textile Fabrics Clothing Accessories | 0.36 |
| Horse Meat | 0.35 |
| Iron Bars and Rods | 0.35 |
| Analog Navigation Devices | 0.35 |

| Top Products (decay 30%) | B_k |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| | |
| Miscellaneous Animal Oils | 0.7 |
| Bovine and Equine Entrails | 0.7 |
| Bovine meat | 0.6 |
| Preserved Milk | 0.6 |
| Equine | 0.6 |
| Butter | 0.53 |
| Misc. Animal Origin Materials | 0.5' |
| Glues | 0.5 |

(日) (종) (종) (종)

(b) S3R-IBP

æ

(a) SVD

э

-

(日) (同) (三) (

Results Interpretability

Countries in Capability Space

Results Interpretability

Countries in Capability Space

- France = Belgium + ?
- Germany ? = Austria
- Malaysia (Electronics) $+ ? \rightarrow$ Phillipines
- $\bullet~$ Phillipines + ? \rightarrow Indonesia, Vietnam





Results Interpretability

Countries in Capability Space

- France = Belgium + Industrial Machinery
- Germany Chemical = Austria
- Malaysia (Electronics) + Clothing \rightarrow Phillipines
- $\bullet~$ Phillipines + Basic Processing \rightarrow Indonesia, Vietnam





Conclusion

- **1** BNP model for data exploration in high-dim count data.
- **2** interpretable and structured solutions.
- **3** Analysis of productive structure of world economies.

Conclusion

- **1** BNP model for data exploration in high-dim count data.
- **2** interpretable and structured solutions.
- **3** Analysis of productive structure of world economies.

Future works

• **Time-dependent extension** with Markovian activation of features and smooth variation of capabilities.

Conclusion

- BNP model for data exploration in high-dim count data.
- **2** interpretable and structured solutions.
- **3** Analysis of productive structure of world economies.

Future works

• **Time-dependent extension** with Markovian activation of features and smooth variation of capabilities.

Thank you for listening! Any question?

